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### APPEAL TRIBUNAL DECISION

**Docket Number:** 18 1478 **Hearing Date:** December 3, 2018

**CLAIMANT:**

MARGARET MERCER

**CLAIMANT APPEARANCES: DETS APPEARANCES:**

Margaret Mercer None

#### CASE HISTORY

The claimant timely appealed an October 29, 2018, 2018 redetermination which denied benefits under AS 23.20.378. The issue before the Appeal Tribunal is whether the claimant met work availability requirements of the law.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The claimant established a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective August 26, 2018. On September 21, 2018, the Division of Employment and Training Services mailed a notice to the claimant’s address of record, notifying the claimant that she was required to participate in reemployment services. The notice advised the claimant to schedule and attend an in-person reemployment eligibility assessment interview and complete any assigned activities by October 12, 2018, or benefits would be denied.

The claimant did not receive the notice until October 18, 2018. She moved into her current residence in June 2018. She was not given a key to the mail box until July. The mailman told her she would not get mail at her current residence until her previous box was closed by her former roommate. The mailman also told her the paperwork was not complete for her new box.

The claimant remained in contact with the postman and the landlord concerning her mail. She continued to be told her mail would be delivered when the paperwork was properly completed. She continued to check her mail daily. The claimant received advertisements and flyers in here mail box but no actual mail addressed to her until she received a large volume of mail on October 18, 2018.

The mail she received on October 18, 2018 contained the notice to complete the reemployment and eligibility assessment interview. She contacted the Division and inquired about setting up an interview. She scheduled the assessment interview for October 24, 2018. This was the earliest date available. She completed the assessment interview on October 24, 2018.

#### PROVISIONS OF LAW

**AS 23.20.378 provides in part:**

1. An insured worker is entitled to receive waiting-week credit or benefits for a week of unemployment if for that week the insured worker is able to work and available for suitable work. An insured worker is not considered available for work unless registered for work in accordance with regulations adopted by the department.

**8 AAC 85.350:**

(a) A claimant is considered able to work if the claimant is physically and mentally capable of performing work under the usual conditions of employment in the claimant's principal occupation or other occupations for which the claimant is reasonably fitted by training and experience.

(b) A claimant is considered available for suitable work for a week if the claimant

(1) registers for work as required under 8 AAC [85.351;](http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis/folioproxy.asp?url=http://wwwjnu01.legis.state.ak.us/cgi-bin/folioisa.dll/aac/query=%5bJUMP:'8+aac+85!2E351'%5d/doc/%7b@1%7d?firsthit)

(2) makes independent efforts to find work as directed under 8 AAC [85.352](http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis/folioproxy.asp?url=http://wwwjnu01.legis.state.ak.us/cgi-bin/folioisa.dll/aac/query=%5bJUMP:'8+aac+85!2E352'%5d/doc/%7b@1%7d?firsthit) and 8 AAC [85.355;](http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis/folioproxy.asp?url=http://wwwjnu01.legis.state.ak.us/cgi-bin/folioisa.dll/aac/query=%5bJUMP:'8+aac+85!2E355'%5d/doc/%7b@1%7d?firsthit)

(3) meets the requirements of 8 AAC [85.353](http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis/folioproxy.asp?url=http://wwwjnu01.legis.state.ak.us/cgi-bin/folioisa.dll/aac/query=%5bJUMP:'8+aac+85!2E353'%5d/doc/%7b@1%7d?firsthit) during periods of travel;

(4) meets the requirements of 8 AAC [85.356](http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis/folioproxy.asp?url=http://wwwjnu01.legis.state.ak.us/cgi-bin/folioisa.dll/aac/query=%5bJUMP:'8+aac+85!2E356'%5d/doc/%7b@1%7d?firsthit) while in training;

(5) is willing to accept and perform suitable work which the claimant does not have good cause to refuse;

(6) is available, for at least five working days in the week, to respond promptly to an offer of suitable work; and

(7) is available for a substantial amount of full-time employment.

**8 AAC 85.355:**

The director may review a claimant's registration for work and availability for work at any time during the benefit year. As part of the review, the director shall consider the claimant's training, experience, length of unemployment, plan for obtaining work, barriers to reemployment, and work prospects. On the basis of the review, the director may assign to the claimant new suitable occupation codes, change the claimant's registration for work, assign the claimant to reemployment services or instruct the claimant to make independent attempts to find work that are appropriate for the occupation and labor market. If the claimant fails without good cause to participate in the review, participate in reemployment services as directed by the director, or follow instructions of the division to help the claimant find suitable work, the director shall determine the claimant was not available for work.

**8 AAC 85.357 provides:**

 (a) A claimant is not available for work for any week in which the claimant fails to participate in reemployment services if the claimant has been determined by the director likely to exhaust regular benefits and need reemployment services, unless the claimant has

 (1) completed the reemployment services; or

1. has good cause under (b) of this section for failure to participate in the reemployment services.

(b) The director shall find that a claimant has good cause for failure to participate in reemployment services or related services under (a) of this section if the cause would lead a reasonable and prudent person not to participate in those services and the claimant took the actions that a reasonable and prudent person would take in order to participate. A claimant no longer has good cause when the cause preventing participation ends. Good cause includes

 (1) circumstances beyond the claimant's control;

 (2) circumstances that waive the availability for work requirement in AS 23.20.378;

 (3) attendance at training approved under AS 23.20.382 and 8 AAC 85.200; and

 (4) referral to reemployment services that the director determines was made incorrectly.

# CONCLUSION

Regulation 8 AAC 85.357, above, holds that a claimant is not available for work in any week in which the claimant fails to participate in reemployment services, unless the failure to participate is caused by circumstances beyond the claimant’s control.

*There is a rebuttable presumption that a notice placed in the mail will be timely delivered*. Rosser, Comm. Dec. 83H-UI-145, June 15, 1983. *Only if it can be shown that some circumstances occurred which prevented or reasonably can be shown to have prevented the delivery of the mail can the presumption of timely delivery be overcome*. Whitlock, Comm. Dec. No. 9229240, March 17, 1993.

The claimant has overcome the presumption of timely delivery of her mail. She has shown a circumstance beyond her control prevented her from completing the interview within the time limits prescribed. The claimant completed the reemployment and eligibility assessment interview as soon as she learned of the requirement. Benefits are allowed for the weeks in question.

#### DECISION

The determination issued on October 29, 2018 is **REVERSED**. Benefits are allowed for the weeks ending October 13, 2018 through October 20, 2018, if the claimant is otherwise eligible.

#### APPEAL RIGHTS

This decision is final unless an appeal is filed to the Commissioner of Labor and Workforce Development within **30 days** after the decision is mailed to each party. The appeal period may be extended only if the appeal is delayed for circumstances beyond the party's control. A statement of appeal rights and procedures is enclosed.

Dated and mailed on December 4, 2018.

 Tom Mize

 Appeals Officer